

BOLETIM

MUSEU DE HISTÓRIA NATURAL DO FUNCHAL



Vol. LXX (2020), Art. 356: 5-9

ISSN 2183-279X (online edition)

Available online at: http://boletim.cm-funchal.pt

Has *Colias hyale* (Linnaeus, 1758) ever existed in Madeira (Insecta: Lepidoptera: Pieridae)?

By Peter J. C. Russell 1*

With 1 plate

ABSTRACT: The reports of the presence of *Colias hyale* from Madeira are examined and commented upon. The frequency of large populations of *Colias crocea* on the island reported by various researchers is documented. It is suggested that the museum specimens, which were observed by Burr in the collections of the *Museu de História Natural do Seminário do Funchal*, if they were of Madeiran origin, were most probably *Colias crocea* f. *cremonae*. The resemblance of *C. hyale* to this form has been reported previously and it has been recorded from Madeira more recently, when the population of *C. crocea* was abundant. Most recently, Payne considered that *C. hyale* had been recorded on Madeira. It is concluded that *C. hyale* should be removed permanently from the list of Madeiran butterflies.

Keywords: *Colias hyale, Colias crocea*, Madeira, Museums, Funchal.

RESUMO: Os relatos da presença de *Colias hyale* na Madeira são examinados e comentados. A frequência de grandes populações de *Colias crocea* na ilha relatada por vários investigadores é documentada. Sugere-se que os espécimes de museu, observados por Burr nas coleções do Museu de História Natural do Seminário do Funchal, se fossem de origem madeirense, seriam muito provavelmente *Colias crocea* f. *cremonae*. A semelhança de *C. hyale* com esta forma foi relatada anteriormente e foi registada na Madeira recentemente, quando a população de *C. crocea* era abundante. Mais recentemente, Payne considerou que *C. hyale* havia sido encontrada na Madeira. Conclui-se que *C. hyale* deve ser removida permanentemente da lista de borboletas madeirenses.

Palavras-chave: Colias hyale, Colias crocea, Madeira, Museus, Funchal.

¹ Oakmeadow, Wessex Avenue, East Wittering, West Sussex PO20 8NP, U. K.

^{*} E-mail: peterjcrussell@yahoo.co.uk

INTRODUCTION

The first published suggestion that Colias hyale (Linnaeus, 1758) was seen on the island of Madeira was by Cockerell (1923: 244), who reported that Dr. Malcolm Burr (no references were attached to Cockerell's article) had seen specimen(s) of C. hyale in the Museu de História Natural do Seminário do Funchal, Madeira, with the comment, 'presumably collected in Madeira'. There is no appropriate article listed by Wikipedia under Dr. M. Burr (accessed 16.03.2020); however, it should be noted that Burr was a specialist in Dermaptera and Orthoptera, rather than Lepidoptera. When the collection in the Seminário was moved to the Natural History Museum in the Funchal Botanical Gardens, Aguiar & Carvalho (2016) catalogued all the surviving insect material. No specimen of *C. hyale* was present; however, there was a pair of C. crocea (Geoffroy, 1875) without data (note: none of the specimens present in the Seminário had any data [Franquinho Aguiar, pers. comm.]). Payne (2020: 237-8 & plate 38, p. 306), solely on the basis of Cockerell's report, included C. hyale as a Madeiran butterfly, including a map of its 'known distribution'.

Historical references to *C. hyale* and *C. crocea* in Madeira

Baron DE Worms (1964: 253) reported on his visit to Madeira in April 1964 and noted as follows: "...an area of

about four acres was alive with Colias croceus Fourc. and I have seldom seen it more abundant in a confined space...". SWASH & ASKEW (1982) also made a comment that C. crocea was the most common butterfly when they visited the island; the significance of such comments will become apparent later. LACE & JONES (1984: 163) simply recorded that C. hyale is a vagrant, without further comment; however, they recorded a large number of *C. crocea* along a transect at Ponta de São Lourenço between 10 and 40 m altitude. OWEN et al. (1987: 29) merely mentioned that C. hyale had been reported from Madeira, without any further reference; they noted (p. 30) that in April 1985: 'at Ponta de São Lourenço dozens of *C. croceus* females were laying on clovers and vetches'. Karsholt (1988) referred to the report of C. hyale by Cockerell (1923), considering it doubtful; he suggested that this could have been confused with C. crocea f. helice (Hübner, 1879). PAYNE (2020: 237) commented upon this possibility as follows: "...it seems to this author that confusing C. hyale with C. crocea var.helice (sic) is too easy an explanation to try to presume - helice is creamy white in colour and hyale is bright yellow...". From this comment it is obvious that Payne was unaware that the females of C. hyale are always of the alba form, which of course are off-white in colour and thus rather similar to C. crocea f. helice (see Plate I: 1 & 3). The similarity in flight would have been notable.



Plate I − 1) Colias hyale ♀, Frenois, Cote d'Or, France, 350 m, ex ovum. 2) Colias hyale ♂, Frenois, Cote d'Or, France, 350 m, ex ovum. 3) Colias crocea ♀ f. helice, Rogi, Peloponnese, Greece, 900 m. 4) Colias crocea f. cremonae ♀, Porto do Salão, Azores, Portugal, 25 m.

OWEN & SMITH (1993) make no mention of C. hyale being part of the North Atlantic Islands butterfly fauna. MEYER (1993: 125, 129) did not include *C. hyale* in his 'checklist' of Madeiran butterflies but later, placing it in parentheses, referred to Cockerell (1923) reporting that there was specimen in the Seminário. ZHANG (1994: 150) listed Madeira under geographical records for C. hyale, without further comment. Salmon & Wakeham-Dawson (1999) listed C. hyale as 'uncertain status', commenting later on the article of Cockerell (1923). However, they included a section on C. crocea, saying that it was the second most abundant butterfly in July and August 1998; they figured (plate 1, p. 79) several examples of C. crocea, including a male C. crocea f. cremonae Bang-Haas, 1912, to which, unfortunately, they gave the authority as Verity (see Russell et al., 2003) and stated that it was synonymous with 'abs pallida Manon, 1926, tergestina Stauder, 1913 and helicinoides Braun 1930', which are merely very pale aberrations, but still have vestiges of the red pigment present, unlike the form cremonae, in which it is entirely absent. This is probably the only positive record of this form from Madeira; hardly surprising since C. crocea populations are rarely large enough to encounter many examples of this double recessive mutant (Russell et al., 2003).

WAKEHAM-DAWSON et al. (2001) mentioned the supposed sighting by Burr (Cockerell, 1923) and placed C. hyale under the heading of 'doubtful records'. MEYER (2003: 327) placed C. hyale in his 'Registos erróneos' list of Macaronesian species, without further comment. Wakeham-Dawson & Aguiar (2003) mentioned that C. crocea was common in the Funchal Ecological Park. WAKEHAM-DAWSON, McCullough & Aguiar (2004: 113) made no comment on the record of C. hyale. Aguiar & Karsholt (2006: 32) placed C. hyale in their list of butterflies from Madeira with the following note (p. 91): "...recorded from Madeira by Cockerell (1923: 244) based on a probably unlabelled specimen that once existed in the collection of the Museu do Seminário in Funchal..." "All subsequent records of hyale from Madeira are based on this record.". Kratochwil et al. (2018) did not mention C. hyale, but summarised the works of other researchers on C. crocea in Madeira, mentioning the abundance of this species in some years.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The reference to the fact that Burr had seen *C. hyale* in the *Seminário* in Funchal by Cockerell (1923) is intriguing. It is fairly obvious that the specimen(s) that Burr

saw was(were) not extant when Aguiar & Carvalho (2016) catalogued the insects on their removal to the museum in the Funchal Botanical Gardens, since there was just one pair of *C. crocea* (no mention of f. *helice*). It seems very doubtful that Burr, even as a 'non-lepidopterist' would have mistaken these for *C. hyale*; surely it would have been a lemon yellow coloured butterfly that would have initiated his suggestion of *C. hyale*. It would seem likely therefore that between the times that Burr saw what he considered was *C. hyale* (certainly prior to 1923) and the cataloguing in 2016, around a century later, the reported specimens had disappeared. Maybe this was the result of infestation; butterflies are very prone to becoming a pile of dust in just a few years if left unattended.

Assuming that Burr did see something that he considered was *C. hyale*, then what are the options? It does seem very unlikely that *C. hyale* reached Madeira; the nearest populations are in northwest Spain just south of the Pyrenees, some 2000 Km away and the winds at this latitude are generally westerlies, tending to prohibit migration to the west. The present author has already refuted the wholly unscientific 'record' by PAYNE (2019: 153) of this species reaching the Azores Archipelago (Russell, 2020). It must be remembered that the butterflies in the *Seminário* collection originated from all over the world, there were both tropical and European specimens present (Aguiar & Carvalho, 2016), for example: *Morpho menelaus* (Linnaeus, 1758), *Aglais urticae* (Linnaeus, 1758) and *Aglais io* (Linnaeus, 1758).

Another and more probable explanation for this quandary is that, if what Burr saw did actually originate from Madeira, it was a female of C. crocea f. cremonae (see Plate I: 2 & 4). As has been shown in the Azores (Russell, TENNENT & HALL, 2003), when populations of C. crocea flourish, this double recessive mutant form can be found in some numbers. It has been noted above, that populations of C. crocea on Madeira have been seen to become very extensive, often being the most abundant butterfly on the island (Swash & Askew, 1982; Owen et al., 1987; Salmon & WAKEHAM-DAWSON, 1999). Thus, it would seem possible that what Burr saw was C. crocea f. cremonae, a form already recorded in Madeira and figured by Salmon & Wakeham-Dawson (1999). Payne's curious assertion (2020: 237) that the claim by Wakeham-Dawson, Salmon & Aguiar '(2000)'? (2001) that the record was doubtful 'on no evidence whatever' is nonsense. In fact, it is only PAYNE (2020: 237), who has accepted the 'record' of C. hyale, which of course it is not – it is merely a 'report' of a specimen with no data, without any positive evidence. Even in the unlikely event that the

specimen(s) observed by Burr was (were) actually *C. hyale*, the fact that it had no location data precludes it (them) from being a 'record' of this species from Madeira. All of the inclusions of *C. hyale* in lists of Madeiran butterflies are based on Cockerell (1923: 244), it has never been observed since. Finally, it is concluded that the so called 'record' of *C. hyale* from Madeira is not only dubious, but entirely false and this species should be removed entirely from the list of Madeiran butterfly fauna.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Grateful thanks are due to Martin Gascoigne-Pees (Stonesfield, UK.) for photographing the specimens for figures 1-4 in the colour plate. António Franquinho Aguiar (Camacha, Madeira, Pt.) is thanked for his comments on the specimens housed at the old *Seminário* museum in Funchal. Andrew Wakeham-Dawson (High Wycombe, UK.) is thanked for his assistance with old references to Madeiran butterflies.

REFERENCES

AGUIAR, A. M. F. & J. A. CARVALHO:

2016. A catalogue of the surviving insect collection of the old Funchal Seminary Museum of Natural History. *Boletim do Museu de História Natural do Funchal*, **66**: 41-

AGUIAR, A. M. F. & O. KARSHOLT:

2006. Systematic catalogue of the Entomofauna from Madeira and Selvagens Islands. Lepidoptera, Vol. 1. *Boletim do Museu de História Natural do Funchal*, **Supl.** 9: 5-139.

COCKERELL, T. D. A.:

1923. The Lepidoptera of the Madeira Islands. *Entomologist*, **56**: 243-247.

KARSHOLT, O.:

1988. On Butterflies from Madeira with a checklist. *Entomologist's Record and Journal of Variation*, **100**: 115-117.

KRATOCHWIL, A., A. SCHWABE & A. M. F. AGUIAR:

2018. Comments on the butterfly fauna (Papilionoidea) of Porto Santo (Madeira Archipelago): species list, distribution patterns, and butterfly-plant network. *Boletim do Museu de História Natural do Funchal*, **68**: 5-20.

LACE, L. A. & M. J. JONES:

1984. Habitat preferences and status of the Madeiran butterfly fauna. *Boletim do Museu de História Natural do Funchal*, **36**: 162-176.

MEYER, M .:

1993 Die Lepidoptera der makaronesischen Region III.

Die Tagfalter des nördlichen Makaronesiens (Madeira, Azores aus biogeographischer Sicht (Papilionoidea). *Atalanta Würzburg*, **24**: 121-162, Farbtafeln VI-XIII.

2003. Capítulo 17 Monografia (Macaronésia), pp. 325-340) In: *As borboletas de Portugal* (*The butterflies of Portugal*), Maravalhas, E., editor, Apollo Books, Stenstrup, Denmark, 455 pp.

OWEN, D. F., T. G. SHREEVE & A. G. SMITH:

1987. Butterflies on Madeira in April 1985. *Entomologist's Record and Journal of Variation*, **99**: 29-32.

OWEN, D. F. & D. A. S. SMITH:

1993. The Origin and History of the butterfly fauna of the North Atlantic Islands. *Boletim do Museu Municipal do Funchal*, **Supl. 2**: 211-241.

PAYNE, M.:

2019. The ecology, biogeography and speciation of the butterflies of the Azores, World Natural History Publications, The Lake District, UK, 370 pp.

2020. *The Butterflies of the Madeiran Islands*, World Natural History Publications, The Lake District, UK, 322 pp.

RUSSELL, P. J. C.:

2020. Correction of a recent "Record" of *Colias hyale* (L., 1758) from the Azores Archipelago (Pieridae). *Entomologist's Record and Journal of Variation*, **132**: 77-80

RUSSELL, P., W. J. TENNENT & D. HALL:

2003. Polymorphism in *Colias croceus* (Geoffroy, 1785) (Lepidoptera: Pieridae) from the Azores, with a description of a new form and notes on its frequency, genetics and distribution. *Entomologist's Gazette*, **54**: 143-152.

SALMON, M. A. & A. WAKEHAM-DAWSON:

1999. Thomas Vernon Wollaston and the Madeiran butterfly fauna – A re-appraisal. *British Journal of Entomology and Natural History*, **12**: 69-88.

SWASH, A. R. & R. R. ASKEW:

1982. A survey of Madeiran Butterflies. *Boletim do Museu de História Natural do Funchal*, **34**: 60-66.

WAKEHAM-DAWSON, A. & A. M. F. AGUIAR:

2003. The arrival of *Cacyreus marshalli* (Butler, 1898) larva, a larval host-plant for *Lycaena phlaeas phlaeoides* (Staudinger, 1901) and notes on other butterfly species in the Madeiran Archipelago, Portugal, 8-15 July 2002 (Lepidoptera: Rhopalocera). *Entomologist's Gazette*, **54**: 227-231.

WAKEHAM-DAWSON, A., A. McCULLOUGH & A. M. F. AGUIAR: 2004. Butterfly records from Madeira Island, Portugal, 10-17 April 2003, a checklist of Porto Santo Island's butterflies, reference to type and other specimens in The Natural History Museum, London, and comments on some doubtful butterfly records from Madeira Island (Lepidoptera: Rhopalocera). *Entomologist's Gazette*, **55**: 113-117.

WAKEHAM-DAWSON, A., M. SALMON & A. M. F. AGUIAR:

2001. Guia de Campo das Borboletas Diurnas do Parque Ecológico do Funchal e do Arquipélago da Madeira. [A Field Guide to the Butterflies of the Funchal Ecological Park and Madeiran Archipelago]. Câmara Municipal do Funchal, 115 pp.

WORMS, C. G. M.:

1964. Madeira in the Spring. *The Entomologist's Record and Journal of Variation*, **76** (11): 252-254.

ZHANG, B.-C.:

1994. *Index of Economically Important Lepidoptera*. CAB International, Cambridge, UK., 599 pp.