BOCAGIANA

Museu Municipal do Funchal

Madeira

28.V.1986

No. 96

CONTRIBUTION TO THE STATUS OF «HELIX» WOLLASTONI LOWE AND «HELIX» FORENSIS WOLLASTON (PULMONATA: HELICIDAE) 1

By Jens Hemmen ² & Klaus Groh ³

ABSTRACT. The systematic status of "Helix" wollastoni LOWE 1852 and "Helix" forensis WOLLASTON 1878 is examined. The examination based on specimens collected alive in Porto Santo and Ilhéu de Fora proves that two subspecies can be distinguished. A table of biometric data of fossil and recent shells is added. The occurrence of crosses between wollastoni and Leptaxis nivosa is confirmed.

RESUMO. A posição sistemática de «Helix» wollastoni LOWE 1852 e «Helix» forensis WOLLASTON 1878 é examinada com base em espécimes colhidos vivos em Porto Santo e Ilhéu de Fora e prova-se que podem ser distinguidos duas subespécies. É dada uma tabela com a biometria das conchas fósseis e recentes. A ocorrência de crusamentos entre wollastoni e Leptaxis nivosa é confirmada.

Already in 1828 LOWE had collected semifossil shells belonging to a species live material of which was later collected by WOLLASTON on Pico do Concelho of Porto Santo in 1849. LOWE only described the latter in 1852 as *Helix wollastoni* in the section *Iberus*. In spite of the fact that LOWE (1852) compares his specimen to *Helix scabriuscula*

¹⁾ Contributions to the Molluscs of the Archipelago of Madeira No. 8, No. 7 : K. GROH & J. HEMMEN (in press) : Zur Kenntnis der Vitriniden des Madeira-Archipels (Pulmonata : Vitrinidae). — Arch. Moll., 116 (4/6); Frankfurt a. M.

²⁾ Grillparzerstr. 22, D-6200 Wiesbaden, Germany.

³⁾ Georg-Spengler-Str. 23, D-6100 Darmstadt-Arheilgen, Germany.

DESHAYES (=Murella) later authors (ALBERS (1854), PAIVA (1867)) place it in the section Crenea ALBERS.

Likewise, WOLLASTON (1878) places wollastoni in the section Iberus, furthermore compares it to Helix scabriuscula together with H. digna MOUSSON (=? Hemicycla) and describes Helix forensis from Ilhéu de Fora as a new species to science. PILSBRY, in TRYON (1894), was the first to place wollastoni in the genus Leptaxis. MANDAHL-BARTH (1950) raises Katostoma LOWE 1854 from section to subgenus in which he places apart from nivosa (SOWERBY 1824) and psammophora (LOWE 1831) also wollastoni. In disagreement with ZILCH (1960) we agree with MANDAHL-BARTH in considering Katostoma apart from Leptaxis s.s. and Cryptaxis subgenera of Leptaxis for the following reasons:

- 1. Geographic isolation (restricted to the Porto Santo-group).
- 2. Differing conchological characters (colour of the protoconch, granulation and ribbing, respectively malleation of the teleconch).
- 3. Differing anatomical character (long pedunculus).

Katostoma is apparently closer to the subgenus *Cryptaxis* LOWE 1854 than *Leptaxis* s.s. LOWE 1852 (bunched ductus hermaphroditicus, relative length of the pedunculus, sculpture of the surface of the shell and shape of the basal plates of the lateral teeth (compare MANDAHL-BARTH (1950)).

Having amassed extensive material of the subgenus *Katostoma* derived from visits to Porto Santo (1980, 1981, 1983 and 1985) we examined the status of *«Helix» wollastoni* and *«Helix» forensis* in greater detail.

Leptaxis (Katostoma) wollastoni wollastoni (LOWE 1852)

- 1852 Helix (Iberus) wollastoni LOWE, Ann. Mag. nat. Hist., (2) 9:119 (loc. typ.).
- 1854 Helix (Crenea) wollastoni, ALBERS, Malac. Madeir.: 22, T. 4 F. 1-3.
- 1878 Helix (Iberus) wollastoni, WOLLASTON, Test. Atlantica: 99.
- 1895 Leptaxis wollastoni, PILSBRY, Man. Conch.: 293.
- 1950 Leptaxis (Katostoma) wollastoni, MANDAHL-BARTH, Abh. senckenb. naturf. Ges., 469: 40, T. 13 F. B, T. 14 F. 7 (anatomy, radula).
- 1957 Iberus (Iberus) wollastoni and wollastoni var. forensis, SILVA, Mem. min. geol., 44: 28, T. 4 F. 5-8.
- 1983 Leptaxis (Katostoma) wollastoni wollastoni. WALDÉN, Ann. zool. Fennici, 20 : 268 and footnote 76 (partim).

As already indicated by GROH & HEMMEN (1984) the illustrations of $L.\ w.\ wollastoni$ and $L.\ w.\ var.\ forensis$, wrongly referred to the genus lberus in SILVA (1957), tab. 4, fig. 5-8, belong in fact in both cases to the nominate form.

Morphology of the shell: Shell compressed spherical with about five evenly increasing whorls, 1.5 of which fall on the small very finely dotted protoconch; whorls strongly keeled, this keel in the upper one third of the last whorl; its sculpture consisting of strong, irregular ribs (about 6/cm in the middle of the last whorl), between them ± equal granulation; last whorl descending only a short distance before the lip at an angle of about 45° down to the elliptical, on both ends laterally pointed mouth. The shell is not umbilicated, even in young specimens, the margin of the columella is slightly turned over. The border of the mouth is connected, narrow-lipped and forms a strong parietal callus. In the columellar region the border of the mouth is distinctly thickened. The colour of the shell is light reddish brown with two obscure dark-brown bands partly dissolving into spots above and below the keel; the protoconch as well as the whole area of the mouth is rose-coloured.

Measurements: see table.

Anatomy of the genitals: The genital organs of the animals examined by us agree largely with the very appropriate illustration by MANDAHL-BARTH (1950: pl. 14. fig. 7). The length of the musculus retractor penis and of the flagellum can vary, likewise can the number and dimension of the mucous gland.

R a d u l a: MANDAHL-BARTH (1950: pl. 13, fig. 6) illustrates a section of a row of teeth, according to which the halfrow-formula re-

sults in
$$\frac{C}{1} + \frac{17}{2} + \frac{12}{3} + \frac{\geq 4}{2}$$
.

Distribution: Recent specimens so far only know from the southern slope of Pico do Concelho between about 200 to 250 m. Fossil quarternary finds in hand are from the Vale do Touro (colluvial sediments), Barbinha (eolian and colluvial deposits), a sand-pit at Porto dos Frades (eolian deposits), Zimbral d'Areia (eolian deposits) and the Ilhéu de Ferro (one specimen, gastric stone of a gull?).

N o t e: The fossil distribution of the species therefore covered a much larger area in the south-eastern part of Porto Santo during the quaternary.

As can be seen in table 1, the diameter of the shell of the fossil animals is significantly greater than that of the recent ones. From this a considerably greater volume results (actually more than one third if the shape of the shell is visualized as a hemisphere). Similar dimensional differences in size between recent and fossil shells have been observed in *Idiomela subplicata* (compare HEMMEN & GROH (1984)) and also occur in other species of this archipelago (for example *Leptaxis undata*, personal observation) and on other Mid-Atlantic islands (compare GROH (1985)). This phenomenon may be caused most probably by climatic circumstances.

Leptaxis (Katostoma) wollastoni forensis (WOLLASTON 1878)

- 1867 Helix (Crenea) wollastoni var. β minor PAIVA, Moll. Inst. Madeir.: (Ilhéu de Fora, nom. nud.).
- 1878 Helix (Iberus) forensis WOLLASTON, Test. Atlantica: 99 (loc. typ.: «Ilhéu de Fora»).
- 1895 Leptaxis wollastoni var. forensis, PILSBRY, Man. Conch. : 293.
- 1950 Leptaxis (Katostoma) wollastoni forensis, MANDAHL-BARTH, Abh. senckenb. naturf. Ges., 469: 45.

The taxon indicated by PAIVA (1867) as wollastoni var. minor from Ilhéu de Fora remains a nomen nudum. WOLLASTON (1878) described it as independant species forensis.

MANDAHL-BARTH is the first to consider forensis a subspecies of wollastoni, while all previous authors consider it a variety.

Morphology of the shell: Compared with L.w. wollastoni this subspecies possesses a distinctly larger protoconch. Shells of similar height are significantly narrower. The granulation of the teleoconch continues over the ribs, the average number of which is lower. The last whorl descends over a longer distance at a lesser gradient (about 30°) towards the mouth. The colour of the shell is darker, an upper banding is no longer visible, the band below the keel is significantly broader.

Measurements: See table.

Anatomy of genitals: The anatomical examination produced no significant differences in the organs, respectively in their relation to one another in comparison with the nominate race. What does stand out is the great size of the genital organs, which — in spite of the smaller dimensions of the shell — corresponds to those of the animals from Porto Santo.

Distribution: Recent animals so far only known from Ilhéu de Fora situated about 3 km north-east of Porto Santo.

N o t e s: Judging from the large size of the protoconch polylecithal eggs are laid. Provided the embryos are spherical, they show an increase of volume of about 80 % in comparison to the nominate race. We consider this an adaptation to the extreme environmental conditions of the Ilhéu de Fora. Thus $L.\ w.\ forensis$ must be considered a typical k-strategist.

LIETZ & SCHWARZBACH (1971) indicate that in the north-eastern area of Porto Santo we must reckon with a coastal-line-regression of max. 12 cm/a. This means that the population of *L. wollastoni* on Ilhéu de Fora became isolated about 25.000 years ago. This space of time was evidently not long enough for a conspicuous genitomorphological differentiation to have taken place. However, the differences in shape of the shells are so great that subspecific separation seems justified.

Leptaxis (Katostoma) × subdubia (WOLLASTON 1878) stat. nov.

Helix (Iberus) wollastoni var. α subdubia WOLLASTON, Test. Atlantica: 99.
 Leptaxis (Katostoma) wollastoni wollastoni, — WALDÉN, Ann. zool. Fennici: 268 and footnote 76 (partim).

Notes: WOLLASTON (1878) refers shells of L. w. wollastoni, which are smaller, less granulated and much less keeled, to var. a subdubia. (Among the more than 500 recent and fossil shells of the nominal race we have, there is only one of such a shape and sculpture). WOL-LASTON considers them possible transitional forms towards L. n. nivosa. In disagreement with WALDÉN (1983) we consider subdubia not an infrasubspecific form and thus a synonym of L. w. wollastoni, but as a result of interbreeding between L. w. wollastoni and L. n. nivosa. Although the possibility that the two species have crossed, resulting in a hybrid with intermediate characters of the shells, is very slight, we believe it to be the only way to explain the regular intermediate shell-characters at such distant intervals without showing gradual transition from character to character. It is true that at the locality where recent specimens of L. w. wollastoni were found L. n. nivosa could not be directly traced, however, the latter is found in higher situations. Both occur only seldom synchronously in quaternary layers and, therefore, possibly never lived syntopically.

The single specimen of \times subdubia of recent times was found by our friend JOCHEN GERBER in the middle of the western slope of Pico do Concelho and he remembers the circumstances of the occasion well: the shell was found together with few dead specimens of L.w. wollastoni in an altitude and situation where the population of wollastoni decreases rapidly; a few metres higher and in more north-western situation he could only find L.n.nivosa — in the beginning only empty shells — later also live specimens. This fact yields further evidence that we are really dealing with a cross between the two species.

One possible «syntype» of \times subdubia is deposited in the MELVIN-TOMLIN collection of the National Museum of Wales in Cardiff (No. 1955. 158.857). This specimen shows mainly characters of $L.\,n.nivosa$ and we therefore are seriously in doubt about the syntypic status of this shell.

A second "cotype" of \times subdubia is stored in the collection of the Rijksmuseum van natuurlijke Historie in Leiden (Netherlands) and Mr. RIPKEN (pers. comm.) pointed out that this specimen agrees very well with the shell found by us. The latter also agrees well with WOLLASTON's original description.

Specimens of the subspecies discussed are in the Senckenberg Museum, Frankfurt a. M. (SMF), the Museu Municipal do Funchal (MMF) and in the author's private collections. Further, a conchologically intermediary shell (\times subdubia) under No. 305475 is deposited in SMF.

	n	size of shell-Ø minmax, (mm)	n	Height minmax. (mm)	n	Number of whorls minmax.	n	Apex-Ø minmax. (mm)	n	Ribs minmax. (last whorl)
L. w. wollastoni (fossil)	164	22.45±1.11 18.4-25.8	164	10.53±0.96 8.8-14.5	158	4.86±0.20 4.2-5.6	30	1.72±0.12 1.5-2.0	85	40.24±2.97 35-50
L. w. wollastoni (recent)	62	18.85±0.97 16.9-21.6	61	10.37±0.79 8.9-12.5	60	4.88±0.17 4.6-5.2	27	1.77±0.14 1.5-2.0	32	37.84 <u>+</u> 2.91 30-43
L. w. forensis	25	17.28±0.60 15.9-18.1	25	9.54 <u>+</u> 0.79 7.5-11.3	24	4.95 <u>+</u> 0.17 4.6-5.3	19	2.41 ± 0.17 2.1-2.7	13	32.85 <u>+</u> 2.85 27-38

Tab. 1.— Biometric data of the shells of *Leptaxis* (*Katostoma*) w. wollastoni and L. (K.) wollastoni forensis. Arithmetic median values with standard deviation, minimal and maximal measurement, n = number of measured shells.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Mr. G. E. MAUL for the translation of this paper and to our wives CHRISTA and CHRISTINA for their help while collecting material. The co-authors' thanks go to the Senckenbergische Naturforschende Gesellschaft for financial support towards expenses in connexion with the collecting journeys of the years 1983 and 1985.

REFERENCES

Albers, J. C.:

1854. Malacographia Maderensis sive enumeratio molluscorum quae in insulis Maderae et Portus Sancti aut vive extant aut fossilis reperiuntur. — 94 pp., 17 Taf.; Berolini (Reimer).

Groh, K.:

Landschnecken aus quartären Wirbeltierfundstellen der Kanarischen Inseln.
 Bonn. zool. Beitr., 36 (3/4): 395-415; Bonn.

Groh, K. & J. Hemmen:

1984. Beitrag zur quartären Molluskenfauna von Porto Santo (Madeira-Archipel).
 — Cour. Forsch.-Inst. Senckenberg, 71: 7-15; Frankfurt/M.

Hemmen, J. & K. Groh:

1984. Die Gattung Idiomela stat. nov. auf Porto Santo (Mollusca: Pulmonata: Helicidae). — Cour. Forsch.-Inst. Senckenberg, 71: 17-26, pl. 1; Frankfurt/M.

Lietz, J. & M. Schwarzbach:

 Ouartäre Sedimente auf der Atlantik-Insel Porto Santo (Madeira-Archipel) und ihre paläoklimatische Deutung. — Eiszeitalter & Gegenwart, 22: 89-109; Öhringen/Württ.

Lowe, R. T.:

1852. Brief diagnostic notices of new Maderan land shells. — Ann. Mag. nat. Hist., (2) 9: 112-120, 275-279; London.

Mandahl-Barth, G.:

1950 (for 1943). Systematische Untersuchungen über die Heliciden-Fauna von Madeira.

— Abh. senckenberg. naturf. Ges., 469: 1-93, 8 fig., 17 pl.; Frankfurt/M.

Paiva, C.:

1867. Monographia molluscorum terrestrium fluvialium lacustrium insularium Maderensium. — 19 + XIX + 168 pp., 2 pl.; Olissipone.

Pilsbry, H. A.:

1893-1895. see under Tryon, G. W.

Silva, G. H.:

1957. Descrição de gastrópodes terrestres fósseis do Quarternário da Ilha de Porto Santo. — Mem. not. publ. Mus. Lab. min. Coimbra, 44: 10-31, pl. 1-4: Coimbra. Tryon, G. W.:

1893-1895. Manual of Conchology (2) 9 (33-36): 366 pp., 71 pl.; Philadelphia (H. A. Pilsbry is author).

Waldén, H. W.:

1983. Systematic and biogeographical studies of the terrestrial Gastropoda of Madeira. With an annotated Check-list. — Ann. zool. Fennici, 20: 255-275, 10 figs.; Helsingfors.

Wollaston, T. V.:

1878. Testacea Atlantica or the land and freshwater shells of the Azores, Madeiras, Salvages, Canaries, Cape Verdes and Saint Helena. — 588 pp.; London (Reeve).

Zilch, A.:

1960. Gastropoda, Euthyneura. — In: Wenz, Gastropoda, Handbuch der Paläozoologie, 6 (2, 4): 601-835; Berlin (Borntraeger).