# ON THE BLATTARIAE OF THE AZORES AND MADEIRA 1

# By K. PRINCIS<sup>2</sup>

The material collected by the expedition is rather meagre and consists almost completely of immature individuals. This is obviously due to the season because the collecting was done in March and April when cockroaches generally have not yet passed through their larval stages. The entire collection includes only three species; nevertheless, it provides some new distributional data on two of the three represented species.

### Blatta orientalis Linné, 1758

Azores, São Miguel: larva, Ponta Delgada, Loc. 9, 13.III.1957, Brinck & Dahl leg.

This synanthropous species has already been recorded from the Azores, Canaries and Madeira.

### Loboptera fortunata Krauss, 1892

Azores, São Miguel: larva, São Pópulo, 7.5 km E of Ponta Delgada (Loc. 3, sandy grassy ground), 1.III.1957, Brinck & Dahl leg.

Madeira: 4 larvae, Porto Novo, Ribeira do Porto Novo (Loc. 119, ravine, under stone), 22.IV.1957, Brinck & Dahl leg.

<sup>1)</sup> Report No. 29 from the Lund University Expedition in 1957 to the Azores and Madeira

<sup>2)</sup> Zoological Institute of the University of Lund, Sweden.

Madeira: larva, Serra d'Água, Power-station (Loc. 120, 600 m, grassy ground, under stone), 23.IV.1927, Brinck & Dahl leg.

Madeira: 13 larvae, Boca do Serrado, at Grande Curral (Loc. 131, 1000 m), 27.IV.1957, Brinck & Dahl leg.

Madeira: 2 larvae, 1 km E of Encumeada (Loc. 135, ca. 800 m), 25.1V.1957, Brinck & Dahl leg.

This species has hitherto only been recorded from the Canaries and is new to the Azores and Madeira.

### Arbiblatta chavesi (Bolívar, 1898)

Azores, São Miguel: larva, at Lagoa das Furnas (Loc. 22, at stream), 10.III.1957, Brinck & Dahl leg.

Azores, São Miguel: 6 larvae, Caldeiras, 5 km SE of Ribeira Grande (Loc. 28, swept in vegetation), 14.III,1957, Brinck & Dahl leg.

Azores, Faial: larva, 0.5 km WNW of Ribeirinha (Loc. 73, under stone), 1.IV.1957, Brinck & Dahl leg.

Azores, Faial: Q, Costa da Nau, 3 km NW of Capelo (Loc. 88, Erica bush), 4.IV.1957, Brinck & Dahl leg.

Azores, Faial: larva, Nasce Água, 3 km S of Cedros (Loc. 93, ravine, under stone), 5.IV.1957, Brinck & Dahl leg.

Madeira: larva, Ribeiro Frio, 7 km SW of Faial (Loc. 115, ravine, 800 m), 21.IV. 1957, Brinck & Dahl leg.

Madeira: larva, Porto Novo, Ribeira do Porto Novo (Loc. 119, under stone), 22.IV.1957, Brinck & Dahl leg.

Madeira: larva, Casa das Queimadas (Loc. 122, 880 m), 24.IV.1957, Brinck & Dahl leg.

This species has hitherto only been known from the Azores. New to-Madeira.

20

1963

## ON THE ORIGIN OF MACARONESIAN BLATTARIAE

The distribution pattern of the currently known Macaronesian cockroaches is as follows:

			Madeira	Azores	Canaries	Cape Verde Islands
*	Ia.	Zetha vestita (Brullé)			+	
*	ıb.	Zetha simonyi (Krauss) = vestita			+	
*		Zetha chavesi (Bolívar) = vestita	+	+		
*	ıd.	Zetha freyi Chopard = vestita		+		
*	ıe,	Tivia bispinosa Chopard = vestita				+
*	2.	Euthyrrhapha pacifica (Coq.)				+
*	3.	Pycnoscelis surinamensis (L.)	+	+	+	+
*	4.	Leucophaea maderae (Fabr.)	+	+	+	+
*	5.	Periplaneta americana (L.)	+	+	+	+
*	6.	Periplaneta brunnea Burm.	+		+	+
*	7.	Blatta orientalis L.	+	+	+	
*	8.	Leurolestes pallidus (Br. W.)			+	
*	9.	Leurolestes circumvagans (Burm.)			+	
*	10.	Blattella germanica (L.)		+	+	+
*	II.	Loboptera decipiens (Germ.)	+			
	12.	Loboptera fortunata Krauss	+	+	+	
	13.	Loboptera canariensis Chopard			+	
0	14.	Caboverdea cincta Princis				+
0	15.	«Temnopteryx» chevalieri Chopard				+
0	16.	Symploce lindbergi Chopard				+
0	17.	Symploce vicentina Princis				+
*	18.	Supella longipalpa (Fabr.)			+	
*	19.	«Ectobius panzeri Steph.»	+			
	20,	Arbiblatta brullei nom. nov. 3)			+	
	21.	Arbiblatta pallida Chopard			+	
	22.	Arbiblatta infumata (Br. W.)	+			
•	23.	Arbiblatta chavesi (Bol.)	+	+		
	24.	Lobolampra lindbergi Chopard			+	

3) Brullé's *Blatta bivittata* (in Webb & Berthelot, Hist. nat. Canar., 11:2, Paris 1844, p. 75, pl. V, fig. 1) is invalidated by Serville's *Blatta bivittata* (Hist. nat. Ins., Orth., Paris 1839, p. 108). I propose herewith a new name **Arbiblatta brullei** for Brullé's species. An examination of the above list shows that three different groups of species are concerned. The first group (•) includes the following species: Loboptera fortunata Krauss, L. canariensis Chop., Arbiblatta brullei nom. nov., A. pallida Chop., A. infumata (Br. W.), A. chavesi (Bol.) and Lobolampra lindbergi Chop. The affinities of these species point to North Africa, where Loboptera as well as Arbiblatta and Lobolampra have reached their highest development. Thus, there is no doubt that the ancestors of the first group species came from this source and successively developed endemic species of palaearctic origin in Macaronesia.

The second group (o) is limited to the Cape Verde Islands and includes four species: Caboverdea cincta Princis, «Temnopteryx» chevalieri Chopard, Symploce lindbergi Chopard and Symploce vicentina Princis. These endemic species are clearly of ethiopian origin, because their nearest relatives, as far as we know them, occur in Western Africa. As regards «Temnopteryx» chevalieri its original generic assignment is uncertain; it may possibly be instead a member of the genus Caboverdea. I showed recently (Princis 1963) that Temnopteryx is an endemic South African genus and therefore it seems improbable that a member of this genus should occur in Macaronesia. The two above groups obviously represent the indigenous cockroach fauna of the Macaronesian Islands.

The third group (\*) includes recent immigrants introduced by man. Among them we note above all the wide-spread synanthropous species, such as: Euthyrrhapha pacifica (Coq.), Pycnoscelis surinamensis (L.), Leucophaea maderae (Fabr.), Periplaneta americana (L.), Periplaneta brunnea Burm., Blatta orientalis L., Blattella germanica (L.) and Supella longipalpa (Fabr.). We do not know when and from where they have been introduced and probably we shall never know it exactly. Leurolestes pallidus (Br. W.) and Leurolestes circumvagans (Burm.) are obviously of neotropical origin and very probably have been introduced from the West Indies. I refer without hesitation also Loboptera decipiens and «Ectobius panzeri» tothis group; however, I am very much in doubt as to correct identification of the second species. I suppose it to be Ectobius servillei Fernandes. (= E. concolor Serville, nec Hagenbach) and the specialized area of the 7th tergite as figured by Chopard (1938, fig. 1) seems to prove this assumption. E. panzeri occurs in Northwestern Germany, Northern France, Belgium, England and the Netherlands, while E. servillei is known from Southern. France and the Iberian Peninsula. *Loboptera decipiens* and *Ectobius servillei* have obviously been introduced to Madeira as fellow immigrants by colonists.

Four species of Zetha (vestita, simonyi, chavesi and freyi) have been de-scribed from the Macaronesian Islands, one (rufescens) is known from Peru and Ecuador, and finally Hawaii and Guatemala have one species each. The species in Hawaii has been recorded as *Holocompsa fulva* (Burmeister) (Zimmerman 1948, p. 98), a generically distinct insect, and Guatemalan records are previously unpublished. My friend Dr. Ashley B. Gurney of Washington has studied Zetha material from the Canaries, Azores. Central America and Hawaii and found that it apparently belongs to the same species (unpublished data). Moreover, some years ago I borrowed two specimens (allotype Q and additional male) of Zetha freyi from the Helspecimens (allotype Q and additional male) of Zetha freyi from the Hel-singfors Museum and compared them with specimens of Z. rufescens Shelford taken in Peru. However, I did not find any noteworthy differences which could justify distinctness of these two species. Consequently, there is every reason to believe that but one species is present and in this case the valid name of it should be Zetha vestita (Brullé). Chopard sup-poses that Zetha is of American origin which seems to be correct. As far as we know the general part of the distribution area of Zetha is in America, but we do not know whether the Peru-Ecuador area is connected with that of Guatemala or not: however, it is clear that the Hawaiian and Macaronesian populations of Zetha must be of secondary origin, i. e. introduced by man. As Dr. Gurney informs me, the genus lends itself to transportation by man, and the quarantine inspectors for plant protection purposes of the U.S. Department of Agriculture have taken it repeatedly in both sexes, at various ports, chiefly from the Azores and Guatemala. It is possible that Zetha was first introduced to the Canaries by the Spaniards. The Spanish commercial route from Peru went via Panama to the Canaries and Spain and this way there was a possibility for Zetha to establish a colony in the Canaries inasmuch as the climate was quite tolerable.

As to the immature specimen recorded as *Tivia bispinosa* by Chopard from the Cape Verde Islands, it may also belong to *Zetha*. I showed recently (Princis 1963) that *Tivia bispinosa* is merely a synonym of *Tivia fratercula*, which species occurs in the Transvaal, Natal, Swaziland, Mozambique, Southern Rhodesia, Nyasaland and Betchuanaland. It is highly improbable that this species will appear in Macaronesia.

#### REFERENCES

Chopard, L .:

- 1937. Origine et affinités de la faune des Orthoptères de Madère. CR. Soc. Biogéogr. XIV. Paris.
- 1938. Les Dermaptères et Orthoptères de Madère. Revue franç. d'Entom. IV. Paris.
- 1946. Les Orthoptèroïdes des Iles Atlantides. Mém. Soc. Biogéogr. VIII. Paris.
- 1955. Insectes Orthoptèroïdes récoltés aux îles Canaries par M. H. Lindberg. *Commentationes biologicae* XIV (7). Helsingfors.

Fernandes, J. de A.:

1963. Revisão dos Ectobiinae (Blattariae-Ectobiidae) da Peninsula Ibérica e Ilhas Baleares. *Revista Port. de Zool, e Biol. Geral.* Lisboa. [In press].

Princis, K.:

1963. Revision der südafrikanischen Blattarienfauna. South African Animal Life IX. Stockholm.

Zimmerman, E. C.:

1948. Insects of Hawaii II. Honolulu.